arXiv:1702.00059v3 [math.GR] 30 Mar 2017

PARTIAL ACTIONS AND A THEOREM BY O'CARROLL

MYKOLA KHRYPCHENKO

Abstract. We give a simple proof of a theorem by L. O'Carroll, which uses partial actions of inverse semigroups.

Introduction

It was proved by D. McAlsiter in [8, Theorem 2.6] that, up to an isomorphism, each E-unitary inverse semigroup is of the form $P(G, X, Y)$ for some McAlister triple (G, X, Y). There are many alternative proofs of this fact (see, for example, the survey [6]), in particular, the one given in [4] uses partial actions of groups on semilattices.

L. O'Carroll generalized in [9, Theorem 4] the McAlister's P-theorem by showing that, given an inverse semigroup S and an idempotent pure congruence ρ on S, there is a fully strict L-triple (T, X, Y) with $T \cong S/\rho$ and $Y \cong E(S)$, such that $S \cong L_m(T, X, Y)$. When S is E-unitary and ρ is the minimum group congruence on S, this coincides with the McAlister's description of S as $P(G, X, Y)$.

In this short note we use partial actions of inverse semigroups on semilattices to get a simple proof of [9, Theorem 4].

1. Preliminaries

Given an inverse semigroup S, we use the standard notations $E(S)$ for the semilattice of idempotents of S and $\leq$ for the natural partial order on S. A congruence ρ on S is said to be *idempotent pure*, if $(e, s) \in \rho$ with $e \in E(S)$ implies $s \in E(S)$. The semigroup S is called *E-unitary*, if its minimum group congruence σ, defined by $(s, t) \in \sigma \Leftrightarrow \exists u \leq s, t$, is idempotent pure. An *F-inverse monoid* is an inverse semigroup, in which every σ-class has the maximum element under $\leq$. Each F-inverse monoid is E-unitary.

Let S and T be inverse semigroups. Recall from [4] that a map $\tau : S \to T$ is called a *premorphism*[1], if

(i) $\tau(s^{-1}) = \tau(s)^{-1}$;
(ii) $\tau(s)\tau(t) \leq \tau(st)$.

Observe from (i) that $\tau(e) = \tau(e)^{-1}$ for any $e \in E(S)$. Moreover, by (ii) one has $\tau(e)\tau(e)^{-1} = \tau(e)\tau(e) \leq \tau(e)$, whence $\tau(e)\tau(e)^{-1} = \tau(e)\tau(e)^{-1}\tau(e) = \tau(e)$. So, $\tau(E(S)) \subseteq E(T)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20M18, 20M30; Secondary 20M15.
Key words and phrases. Inverse semigroup, premorphism, idempotent pure congruence.
Supported by FAPESP of Brazil (process number: 2012/01554–7).

[1] In [7, 10] such a map is called a *dual prehomomorphism*.

Given a set X, by $\mathcal{I}(X)$ we denote the symmetric inverse monoid on X. The elements of $\mathcal{I}(X)$ are partial bijections of X, where the product of $f, g \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ is

$$f \circ g : g^{-1}(\operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{ran} g) \to f(\operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{ran} g).$$

By a *partial action* of an inverse semigroup S on a set X we mean a premorphism $\tau : S \to \mathcal{I}(X)$. If τ is a homomorphism, then we say that the partial action is *global*. We use the notation τ_s for the partial bijection $\tau(s)$.

2. Construction

We fist make several observations. By [5, Proposition 2.4.5] a congruence ρ on S is idempotent pure if and only if ρ is contained in the compatibility relation $\sim$ defined by $s \sim t \Leftrightarrow s^{-1}t, st^{-1} \in E(S)$. Furthermore, in view of [5, Lemmas 1.4.11–12], $s \sim t$ implies that there exists $\inf\{s, t\}$ (under $\leq$) which coincides with

$$st^{-1}t = ts^{-1}t = ts^{-1}s = st^{-1}s = ss^{-1}t = tt^{-1}s. \tag{1}$$

Thus, if ρ is idempotent pure and $(s, t) \in \rho$, then the equalities (1) hold.

We would also like to note that, given a partial action τ of S on X and $s \in S$, then by (i) and (ii)

$$\mathrm{id}_{\operatorname{dom}\tau_s} = \tau_s^{-1} \circ \tau_s = \tau_{s^{-1}} \circ \tau_s \leq \tau_{s^{-1}s},$$

so $\tau_{s^{-1}s}$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{dom}\tau_s$ (and symmetrically $\tau_{ss^{-1}}$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{ran}\tau_s$).

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be an inverse semigroup, X a set, ρ an idempotent pure congruence on S and τ a partial action of S on X. If $(s, t) \in \rho$, $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_s$ and $y \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$, then $\tau_s(x) = \tau_t(y) \Leftrightarrow x = y$.*

Proof. Let $\tau_s(x) = \tau_t(y)$. Since $\tau_s(x) \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_t$, then, as it was observed above, $\tau_{tt^{-1}} \circ \tau_s(x) = \tau_s(x)$. But $\tau_{tt^{-1}} \circ \tau_s \leq \tau_{tt^{-1}s}$ by (ii), so $\tau_s(x) = \tau_{tt^{-1}s}(x)$. By symmetry $\tau_t(y) = \tau_{ss^{-1}t}(y)$. It remains to note that $tt^{-1}s = ss^{-1}t$ in view of (1). The same argument works in the proof of the implication $x = y \Rightarrow \tau_s(x) = \tau_t(y)$. □

Definition 2.2. Under the conditions of Lemma 2.1 for any class $[s] \in S/\rho$ define

$$\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} : \bigcup_{t \in [s]} \operatorname{dom}\tau_t \to \bigcup_{t \in [s]} \operatorname{ran}\tau_t$$

by $\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}(x) = \tau_t(x)$, if $t \in [s]$ and $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$.

By Lemma 2.1 the map $\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}$ is well-defined and bijective.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a partial action of S/ρ on X.*

Proof. We first show that $\tilde{\tau}_{[s^{-1}]} = \tilde{\tau}_{[s]}^{-1}$. Observe that

$$x \in \operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s^{-1}]} \Leftrightarrow x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_t \text{ for some } t \in [s^{-1}] \Leftrightarrow x \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_u \text{ for some } u \in [s].$$

Thus, $\operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s^{-1}]} = \operatorname{ran}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} = \operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}^{-1}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\tau}_{[s^{-1}]}(x) = \tau_t(x) = \tau_{t^{-1}}^{-1}(x) = \tilde{\tau}_{[s]}^{-1}(x)$, where $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$ and $t \in [s^{-1}]$ (equivalently, $x \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_{t^{-1}}$ and $t^{-1} \in [s]$).

We now prove the inequality $\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} \circ \tilde{\tau}_{[t]} \leq \tilde{\tau}_{[st]}$. Take $x \in X$ with $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[t]}$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{[t]}(x) \in \operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}$. There is $t' \in [t]$, such that $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_{t'}$. Then $\tilde{\tau}_{[t]}(x) = \tau_{t'}(x) \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_{s'}$ for some $s' \in [s]$, and hence $\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} \circ \tilde{\tau}_{[t]}(x) = \tau_{s'} \circ \tau_{t'}(x)$. Since $\tau_{s'} \circ \tau_{t'} \leq \tau_{s't'}$, one has $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_{s't'}$ and $\tau_{s'} \circ \tau_{t'}(x) = \tau_{s't'}(x)$, the latter being $\tau_{[st]}(x)$, as $s't' \in [st]$. □

Remark 2.4. If, under the conditions of Proposition 2.3, S is E-unitary, $\rho = \sigma$ and $\bigcup_{e\in E(S)} \operatorname{dom}\tau_e = X$, then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a partial action [3, 4] of the maximum group image $\mathcal{G}(S) = S/\sigma$ of S on X as in [10, Theorem 1.2].

Indeed, we only need to show that the identity element $1_{\mathcal{G}(S)}$ of $\mathcal{G}(S)$ acts trivially: $\operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{1_{\mathcal{G}(S)}} = \bigcup_{e\in E(S)} \operatorname{dom}\tau_e = X$ and $\tilde{\tau}_{1_{\mathcal{G}(S)}}(x) = \tau_e(x) = x$ for $x \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_e$.

Remark 2.5. If, under the conditions of Proposition 2.3, S is an F-inverse monoid, τ is global and $\rho = \sigma$, then

$$\operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} = \bigcup_{t\in[s]} \operatorname{dom}\tau_t = \bigcup_{t\leq \max[s]} \operatorname{dom}\tau_t = \operatorname{dom}\tau_{\max[s]}$$

and hence $\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} = \tau_{\max[s]}$. In particular, if S is the Exel's monoid $\mathcal{S}(G)$ of a group G and $\tau_{1_{\mathcal{S}(G)}} = \mathrm{id}_X$, then identifying $\mathcal{G}(S)$ with G, we see that $\tilde{\tau}$ is the partial action of G on X induced by τ as in [3, Theorem 4.2].

3. A theorem by O'Carroll

Let E be a semilattice, i. e. a commutative semigroup, whose elements are idempotents. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_i(E)$ the inverse subsemigroup of $\mathcal{I}(E)$ consisting of the isomorphisms between ideals of E. By a *partial action* τ of an inverse semigroup S on E we mean a premorphism $\tau : S \to \mathcal{I}_i(E)$. For any partial action τ of S on E define $E *_\tau S$ to be the set $\{e\delta_s \mid s \in S \text{ and } e \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_s\}$ with the multiplication $e\delta_s \cdot f\delta_t = \tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f)\delta_{st}$. Since $\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f) \in \tau_s(\operatorname{dom}\tau_s \cap \operatorname{ran}\tau_t) = \operatorname{ran}(\tau_s \circ \tau_t) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\tau_{st}$, the product is well-defined.

Lemma 3.1. *The set $E *_\tau S$ is an inverse semigroup.*[2]

Proof. As in the proof of [1, Theorem 3.1] the associativity of $E *_\tau S$ reduces to the equality $\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(ef)g) = e\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(f)g)$, where $f \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_s$. The latter is straightforward:

$$\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(ef)g) = \tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(ef)\tau_s^{-1}(f)g) = ef\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(f)g) = e\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(f)g).$$

The idempotents of $E *_\tau S$ are of the form $e\delta_f$, where $f \in E(S)$. Clearly, any two idempotents commute. By a direct calculation one checks that $\tau_s^{-1}(e)\delta_{s^{-1}}$ is inverse to $e\delta_s$ (see, for example, the proof of [2, Lemma 5.12]). □

Denote by $\tilde{\rho}$ the congruence $\{(e\delta_s, e\delta_t) \in (E *_\tau S)^2 \mid (s,t) \in \rho\}$ on $E *_\tau S$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let τ be a partial action of an inverse semigroup S on a semilattice E and ρ an idempotent pure congruence on S. Then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a partial action of S/ρ on the semilattice E and $(E *_\tau S)/\tilde{\rho} \cong E *_{\tilde{\tau}} (S/\rho)$.*

Proof. We know from Proposition 2.3 that $\tilde{\tau}$ is a premorphism from S/ρ to $\mathcal{I}(E)$. Clearly, $\operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}$ is an ideal of E as the union $\bigcup_{t\in[s]} \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$ of ideals of E. We need to prove that $\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}$ is a homomorphism $\operatorname{dom}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]} \to \operatorname{ran}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}$. By the definition of $\tilde{\tau}$ it suffices to show that

$$\tau_s(e)\tau_t(f) = \tau_s(ef) = \tau_t(ef) \tag{2}$$

for $(s,t) \in \rho$ and $e \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_s$, $f \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$. Since $\operatorname{ran}\tau_s$ and $\operatorname{ran}\tau_t$ are ideals in E, there exist $g \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_s$ and $h \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$, such that

$$\tau_s(e)\tau_t(f) = \tau_s(g) = \tau_t(h). \tag{3}$$

[2]The semigroup $E *_\tau S$ is an analogue of $L(T, X, Y)$ from [9]

Observe that $g = h$ by Lemma 2.1, in particular, $g \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_s \cap \operatorname{dom}\tau_t$. Then using (3) and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_s(e)\tau_t(f) &= \tau_s(eg)\tau_t(f) = \tau_t(eg)\tau_t(f) = \tau_t(egf) = \tau_t(ef)\tau_t(g)\\ &= \tau_t(ef)\tau_s(e)\tau_t(f) = \tau_t(ef)\tau_s(e) = \tau_s(ef)\tau_s(e) = \tau_s(ef),\end{aligned}$$

proving (2).

To prove the second statement of the proposition, for any $[e\delta_s] \in (E*_\tau S)/\tilde{\rho}$ define $\varphi([e\delta_s]) = e\delta_{[s]}$. Observe that the definition does not depend on the representative $e\delta_s$ of the class $[e\delta_s]$ and gives different $e\delta_{[s]}$ for different $[e\delta_s]$. Since

$$e \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_s \subseteq \bigcup_{t\in[s]} \operatorname{ran}\tau_t = \operatorname{ran}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]},$$

we have $e\delta_{[s]} \in E*_{\tilde{\tau}}(S/\rho)$, so φ is an injective map from $(E*_\tau S)/\tilde{\rho}$ to $E*_{\tilde{\tau}}(S/\rho)$. It is also clearly surjective, because $e \in \operatorname{ran}\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}$ means that $e \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_t$ for some $t \in [s]$. It remains to prove that φ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi([e\delta_s][f\delta_t]) &= \varphi([\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f)\delta_{st}])\\ &= \tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f)\delta_{[st]}\\ &= \tilde{\tau}_{[s]}(\tilde{\tau}_{[s]}^{-1}(e)f)\delta_{[s][t]}\\ &= e\delta_{[s]}\cdot f\delta_{[t]}\\ &= \varphi([e\delta_s])\varphi([f\delta_t]).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *Let S be an inverse semigroup, ρ an idempotent pure congruence on S and τ the canonical (global) action of S on $E(S)$, namely, $\tau_s : s^{-1}sE(S) \to ss^{-1}E(S)$ and $\tau_s(e) = ses^{-1}$. Then S embeds into $(E(S) *_\tau S)/\tilde{\rho}$.*

Proof. Define $\phi : S \to (E(S) *_\tau S)/\tilde{\rho}$ by $\phi(s) = [ss^{-1}\delta_s]$. Since

$$ss^{-1}\delta_s \cdot tt^{-1}\delta_t = ss^{-1}\cdot s(tt^{-1})s^{-1}\delta_{st} = st(st)^{-1}\delta_{st},$$

the map ϕ is a homomorphism. Suppose that $\phi(s) = \phi(t)$. By the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ this means that $ss^{-1} = tt^{-1}$ and $(s,t) \in \rho$. Then, taking into account (1),

$$s = ss^{-1}s = tt^{-1}s = ss^{-1}t = tt^{-1}t = t,$$

and hence ϕ is one-to-one.

□

Corollary 3.4. *Let S be an inverse semigroup and ρ an idempotent pure congruence on S. Then there exists a semilattice $E \cong E(S)$ and a partial action τ of S/ρ on E, such that S embeds into $E *_\tau (S/\rho)$.*

The embedding is explained by Propositions 3.2 and 3.3.

To make the result of Corollary 3.4 more precise, we follow [9]. We say that a partial action τ of S on E is *strict*, if for each $e \in E$ the set $\{f \in E(S) \mid e \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_f\}$ has the minimum element, denoted by $\alpha(e)$, and the map $\alpha : E \to E(S)$ is a homomorphism. Observe that if $e\delta_s \in E *_\tau S$, then $\alpha(e) \le ss^{-1}$. The set of $e\delta_s \in E *_\tau S$ for which $\alpha(e) = ss^{-1}$ will be denoted by $E \star_\tau S$.[3]

[3]This is an analogue of $L_m(T, X, Y)$ from [9]

Lemma 3.5. *The subset $E \star_\tau S$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $E *_\tau S$.*

Proof. We first observe, as in [9, Lemma 2], that

$$\alpha(\tau_s(e)) = s\alpha(e)s^{-1} \tag{4}$$

for $e \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_s$. Indeed, $e \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_{\alpha(e)}$, therefore, $\tau_s(e) \in \operatorname{ran}(\tau_s \circ \tau_{\alpha(e)}) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\tau_{s\alpha(e)} \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\tau_{s\alpha(e)s^{-1}}$, so $\alpha(\tau_s(e)) \leq s\alpha(e)s^{-1}$. For the converse inequality replace e by $\tau_s(e)$ and s by s^{-1}.

Let $e\delta_s, f\delta_t \in E \star_\tau S$. Then for their product $\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f)\delta_{st}$ we have by (4) and (i)

$$\alpha(\tau_s(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f)) = s\alpha(\tau_s^{-1}(e)f)s^{-1} = s\cdot s^{-1}\alpha(e)s\cdot\alpha(f)s^{-1} = stt^{-1}s^{-1}.$$

Hence, $e\delta_s \cdot f\delta_t \in E \star_\tau S$. Moreover, for each $e\delta_s \in E \star_\tau S$ the inverse $(e\delta_s)^{-1} = \tau_s^{-1}(e)\delta_{s^{-1}}$ belongs to $E \star_\tau S$, as $\alpha(\tau_s^{-1}(e)) = s^{-1}\alpha(e)s = s^{-1}s$. □

A strict partial action τ of S on E is called *fully strict*, if the homomorphism $E \star_\tau S \to S$, which maps $e\delta_s$ to s, is surjective.

Remark 3.6. Let τ be a fully strict partial action of S on E. Then $E\star_\tau S = E *_\tau S$ if and only if S is a group.

Indeed, the "if" part trivially holds for any strict τ. For the "only if" part take $s \in S$ and suppose that $s \leq t$ for some $t \in S$. Since τ is fully strict, there are $e, f \in E$, such that $e\delta_s, f\delta_t \in E \star_\tau S$. In particular, $e \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_s$ and $f \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_t$. Since $\operatorname{ran}\tau_s$ and $\operatorname{ran}\tau_t$ are ideals of E, we have $ef \in \operatorname{ran}\tau_s \cap \operatorname{ran}\tau_t$, so $ef\delta_s, ef\delta_t \in E *_\tau S$. But $E *_\tau S = E \star_\tau S$, so $\alpha(ef) = ss^{-1} = tt^{-1}$. Therefore, $s = ss^{-1}t = tt^{-1}t = t$, and thus the natural partial order on S is trivial.

Theorem 3.7 (Theorem 4 from [9])**.** *Given an inverse semigroup S and an idempotent pure congruence ρ on S, there exist a semilattice $E \cong E(S)$ and a fully strict partial action τ of S/ρ on E, such that S embeds into $E *_\tau (S/\rho)$. Moreover, the image of S in $E *_\tau (S/\rho)$ coincides with $E \star_\tau (S/\rho)$. In particular, the embedding is surjective if and only if ρ is a group congruence, i. e. S is E-unitary and $\rho = \sigma$.*

Proof. As we know from Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, E can be chosen to be equal to $E(S)$ and

$$\tau_{[s]} : \bigcup_{t\in[s]} t^{-1}tE(S) \to \bigcup_{t\in[s]} tt^{-1}E(S),$$

$\tau_{[s]}(e) = tet^{-1}$ for $e \in t^{-1}tE(S)$. Consider the set $A_e = \{[f] \in E(S/\rho) \mid e \in \operatorname{dom}\tau_{[f]}\}$. Clearly, $[e] \in A_e$, as $e \in eE(S) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}\tau_{[e]}$. Moreover, if $[f] \in A_e$, then $e \in gE(S)$ for some idempotent $g \in [f]$. So, $e \leq g$ and hence $[e] \leq [g] = [f]$. This shows that $[e]$ is the minimum element of A_e, and since $\alpha(e) = [e]$ is a homomorphism $E(S) \to E(S/\rho)$, the partial action τ is strict. For each $[s] \in S/\rho$ the element $ss^{-1}\delta_{[s]}$ belongs to $E(S) *_\tau (S/\rho)$, as $ss^{-1} \in ss^{-1}E(S) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\tau_{[s]}$. Moreover, $\alpha(ss^{-1}) = [ss^{-1}] = [s][s]^{-1}$, so in fact $ss^{-1}\delta_{[s]} \in E(S) \star_\tau (S/\rho)$, proving that τ is fully strict.

The embedding $S \to E(S) *_\tau (S/\rho)$ maps s to $ss^{-1}\delta_{[s]}$, which is an element of $E(S) \star_\tau (S/\rho)$ as shown above. Now if $e\delta_{[s]} \in E(S) \star_\tau (S/\rho)$, then $e \in tt^{-1}E(S)$ for some $t \in [s]$ and $[e] = \alpha(e) = [s][s]^{-1} = [ss^{-1}]$. It follows that $e = ett^{-1} = et(et)^{-1}$ and $[et] = [ss^{-1}][t] = [ss^{-1}][s] = [s]$. Hence, $e\delta_{[s]}$ is the image of $et \in S$. Finally, if the embedding is surjective, then $E(S) \star_\tau (S/\rho) = E(S) *_\tau (S/\rho)$, so S/ρ is a group by Remark 3.6. □

References

[1] Dokuchaev, M., and Exel, R. Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357*, 5 (2005), 1931–1952.

[2] Dokuchaev, M., and Khrypchenko, M. Twisted partial actions and extensions of semilattices of groups by groups. `arXiv:1602.02424` (2016).

[3] Exel, R. Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126*, 12 (1998), 3481–3494.

[4] Kellendonk, J., and Lawson, M. V. Partial actions of groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 14*, 1 (2004), 87–114.

[5] Lawson, M. V. *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries.* . World Scientific, Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong, 1998.

[6] Lawson, M. V., and Margolis, S. W. In McAlister's footsteps: a random ramble around the P-theorem. In *Semigroups and formal languages*. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007, pp. 145–163.

[7] Lawson, M. V., Margolis, S. W., and Steinberg, B. Expansions of inverse semigroups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc. 80*, 2 (2006), 205–228.

[8] McAlister, D. B. Groups, semilattices and inverse semigroups II. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 196* (1974), 351–370.

[9] O'Carroll, L. Strongly E-reflexive inverse semigroups. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 20* (1977), 339–354.

[10] Steinberg, B. Inverse semigroup homomorphisms via partial group actions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 64*, 1 (2001), 157–168.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Campus Reitor João David Ferreira Lima, Florianópolis, SC, CEP: 88040–900, Brazil

E-mail address: `nskhripchenko@gmail.com`